UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,776	11/13/2003	Richard S. Sanders	279.651US1	7410
21186 7590 03/27/2008 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MININE A DOLLE MIN 55402			EXAMINER	
			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/712,776	SANDERS, RICHARD S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MICHAEL KAHELIN	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	nuary 2008					
· <u> </u>						
<i>i</i>	, 					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 57-66</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 57-66</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 61, 65, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine (US 6,477,417, hereinafter "Levine") in view of Morgan (US 5,024,221, hereinafter "Morgan").
- 3. In regards to claim 1-5, Levine discloses a sensing circuit (82, 84 and 108), a pacing circuit (70 and 78), and a processor that is upgradeable from a cardiac monitor controller to a cardiac pacemaker controller wherein the sensing circuit is adapted to be programmed from a far-field sensing configuration to an intracardiac electrogram sensing configuration (col. 2, line 2). The Examiner is interpreting this as an "upgrade" because it provides improved performance inasmuch as sensing and stimulating a local area of the heart. Further, the pacing circuit is adapted to be inactive when the device is configured to be the cardiac monitor and the pacemaker (col. 2, line 9), and the device is capable of producing pacing pulses when the device is configured to be the monitor or pacemaker. Since "triggered mode" is used by Levine's device, the pacing circuit is inactive between pulses (e.g., the disclosed "time-out" period) in either the

Art Unit: 3762

monitor (far-field sensing) mode or pacemaker (near-field sensing) mode, but is also still capable of delivering pulses in either mode (e.g., after the "time-out" interval). The limitation "adapted to be inactive" does not require that the pacing circuit be inactive at all times, but merely at some time. Levine does not expressly disclose a first set of cutoff frequencies for far-field sensing and a second set of cutoff frequencies for nearfield sensing using the claimed frequencies. Morgan teaches of providing a cardiac stimulator with a programmable band-pass filter (i.e. a first and second filter) to fit the frequency characteristics to the particular implantation (abstract). Further, it is well known in the art to provide the different claimed cutoff frequencies for near-field and farfield sensing to provide the predictable result of acquiring the desired heart signal, while excluding noise based on the electrode configuration. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a cardiac stimulator with a programmable band-pass filter to provide the predictable result of fitting the frequency characteristics to the particular implantation, and the claimed cutoff frequencies for near-field and far-field sensing to provide the predictable result of acquiring the desired heart signal, while excluding noise based on the electrode configuration.

- 4. In regards to claim 6, the device comprises RAM containing control code (col. 9, line 54).
- 5. In regards to claim 7, the device comprises an activity sensor (col. 14, line 35).
- 6. In regards to claim 8, an electrogram is stored (col. 13, line 45).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,776

Art Unit: 3762

7. In regards to claims 9 and 10, the device further comprises an activity detector comprising an arrhythmia detector (col. 13, lines 21-40).

Page 4

- 8. In regards to claim 61, the configuration instructions are received via telemetry (col. 2, line 2).
- 9. In regards to claim 65, the sensing circuit is adapted to sense an activity signal (col. 14, line 20).
- 10. In regards to claim 66, the device comprises a cardiac resynchronization device(118).
- 11. Claims 57-60 and 62-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine. Levine discloses the essential features of the claimed invention including upgrading the device(s) via programming, but does not disclose a memory comprising a ROM portion and updatable/re-allocatable RAM portion, a safety operation mode during upgrading, configuration instructions comprising authorization information specific to the device being configured, or a configuration authorization module that generates a permission signal upon verification of authorization information. It is well known in the art to provide implantable cardiac stimulators with a memory comprising a ROM portion and updatable/re-allocatable RAM portion to provide a memory that is resistant to corruption and still modifiable for adapting to the heart's changing conditions; a safety (or fallback) operation mode during telemetry to prevent life-threatening arrhythmia when the processor is busy with communication functions; and a handshake protocol specific to the device being configured to ensure that the instructions being telemetered to a device are appropriate for the specific device

Art Unit: 3762

implanted in the patient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Levine's device with a memory comprising a ROM portion and updatable/re-allocatable RAM portion to provide the predictable results of providing a memory that is resistant to corruption and still modifiable for adapting to the heart's changing conditions; a safety (or fallback) operation mode during telemetry to provide the predictable result of preventing life-threatening arrhythmia when the processor is busy with communication functions; and a handshake protocol specific to the device being configured to provide the predictable result of ensuring that the instructions being telemetered to a device are appropriate for the specific device implanted in the patient.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed 12/21/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that the previous Office Action implied that the pacing circuit can be active when the device is in its "monitor mode," which Applicant considered to contradict claim 1. However, as indicated in this and the previous Office Action, the claim language does not require that the pacing circuit is inactive at all times, while in the "monitor mode," but only at *some* time. In other words, there is nothing in the claim language that excludes the pacing circuit from being both active for a portion of the time and inactive for a portion of the time in the "monitor mode."
- 13. Applicant further preemptively traversed the application of Levine and Morgan to claim 1. Please see the above rejection and the references cited below (which teach a

Art Unit: 3762

first set of cutoff frequencies for near-field sensing and a second set for far-field sensing) regarding the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sholder (US 4,686,988) is one of many teachings of utilizing the claimed cutoff frequencies for near-field sensing, and Hoijer (US 6,954,671) is one of many teachings of utilizing the claimed cutoff frequencies for far-field sensing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL KAHELIN whose telephone number is (571)272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762

/Michael Kahelin/ Examiner, Art Unit 3762